

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/937,756	09	9/25/1997	DAVID C. RUEGER	CIBT-PO6-504	2132	
28120	7590	11/29/2002				
ROPES & G		V DI 4 CE	EXAMINER			
ONE INTERI BOSTON, M.				TURNER, SI	TURNER, SHARON L	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			•	1647	711	
				DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002	Ψ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	08/937,756	RUEGER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sharon L. Turner	1647
Th MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	JATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a inication. I days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 10 September 2002	
	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
	for allowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>91,97,99 and 105-108</u> is/are	pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>91,97,99 and 105-108</u> are su	biect to restriction and/or election	roquiroment
Application Papers	Spoot to restriction and/or election	requirement.
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abev	ance See 37 CFR 1 85/a)
11) The proposed drawing correction filed o		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are requi	red in reply to this Office action.	The Examiner.
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3 1 7 5 (a) (a) 51 (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority do		polication No
Copies of the certified copies of t	the priority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Bule 17.2(a))	received in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) ∐ The translation of the foreign languants. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for c	age provisional application has be	en received
Attachment(s)		
1)	948) 5\ Notice of In	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	

Application/Control Number: 08/937,756 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

2. The amendment filed 9-10-02 has been entered into the record and has been fully considered. Claims 88 and 90 are canceled. New claims 91, 97, 99 and 105-108 are pending.

Improper Markush

3. Prior to setting forth the restriction requirement, it is pointed out that applicants have presented instant claims in improper Markush format, see Ex parte Markush, 1925 C.D. 126, In re Weber, 198 USPQ 334 and MPEP 803.02 and 806.04. The claims are improperly set forth as the genus claims encompassing multiple products, as identified and claimed, fail to share the characteristics of a genus, i.e., a common utility and a substantial structural feature essential to the disclosed utility. Alternatively, the claims define multiple structurally distinct compounds capable of different use, with different modes of operation, different function and different effects. A reference against one of the claimed components or methods would not be a reference against the other. Therefore, the restriction will be set forth for each of the various groups, irrespective of the improper format of the claims, because the claims define inventions which are not proper species. There is no indication of an allowable generic or linking claim.

Election/Restriction

4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 91, and 105-108 to the extent of 91, drawn respectively to a method for stimulating the production of an N-CAM or L1 isoform, classified for example in class 514, subclass 2.

- II. Claims 97, 99 and 105-108 to the extent of 97 and 99, drawn to a method for decreasing neuronal cell death, classified for example in class 424, subclass 184.
- 5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 6. Inventions I and II are related as processes. The processes are distinct each from the other as the processes differ in reagents, steps, functions and effects.
- 7. Furthermore, in addition to the election of one of the above II groups, further restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 as set forth below to delineate the molecular embodiments to which the claims will be restricted in accordance with the elected group:

A single polypeptide selected from A) a polypeptide having the C-terminal seven-cysteine skeleton of human OP-1, residues 38-139 of SEQ ID NO:5, B) a polypeptide having the amino acid sequence of the C-terminal seven-cysteine skeleton on human OP-1, C) a polypeptide defined by Generic Sequence 6, SEQ ID NO:31, D) a polypeptide defined by OPX, SEQ ID NO:29, E) human OP-1, F) mouse OP-1, G) human OP-2, H) mouse OP-2, I) 60A, J) GDF-1, K) BMP2A, L) BMP2B, M) DPP, N) Vgl, O) Vgr-1, P) BMP3, Q) BMP5, and R) BMP6.

8. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products, restriction is deemed to be proper because the products indicated as A-R constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Each of the polypeptides has unique structural features which requires a unique search of the prior art. The inventions indicated as A-R differ in structure and function as they are composed of divergent amino acids and are differentially able to bind and mediate

Application/Control Number: 08/937,756 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

biological functions. A reference to one element would not constitute a reference to another. In addition, searching all of the molecules in a single patent application would provide an undue search burden on the examiner and the USPTO's resources because the indicated searches are not co-extensive.

- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any Group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 12. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). In order to be fully responsive, Applicant is required to elect a single group from designated groups I-II and a single molecular embodiment for each of designated groups A-R to which the claims will be restricted, even though the requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that neither I-II nor A-R are species election requirements; rather each of I-II and A-R are restriction requirements. The subject matter for examination will be restricted to the extent of the subject matter of the elected groups.

Application/Control Number: 08/937,756 Page 5

Art Unit: 1647

13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

14. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-0056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached at (703) 308-4623.

Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D.

11/26/02